

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,479	12/23/2003	Manabu Suhara	246898US0CONT	8017
22850 7	22850 7590 07/12/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			BOS, STEVEN J	
1940 DUKE S' ALEXANDRL	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1754	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/743,479	SUHARA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven Bos	1754			
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -			
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
• —	•	action is non-final.				
,	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
· _						
	4) Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are rejected. ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
•		•				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment		"□	(DTO 440)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) 🔯 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-2003</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/743,479

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 5, "the mixture" lack(s) proper antecedent basis in the claim(s).

In claim 5, the process requires element M however the formula does not since x can equal 0 which renders the claim indefinite.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/743,479

Art Unit: 1754

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/49528 in view of Japan 2000-268821. EP 1069633 is an English equivalent to WO '528.

WO '528 suggests the instantly claimed process of making lithium cobalt oxide by mixing a powder of cobalt oxyhydroxide having the instantly claimed particle size with a powder of lithium carbonate and firing at temperatures of 850-1000°C for 4-10 hours. See example 1 and Table 1.

WO '528 may differ in that the surface area of the cobalt oxyhydroxide is not stated nor is the particle size and surface area of the lithium carbonate.

JP '821 teaches a similar process of making lithium cobalt oxide by mixing cobalt oxyhydroxide and lithium carbonate having the instantly claimed particle size and sintering the mixture at a temperature of 850-1000°C for 10-50 hours. See para. 0009-0012, 0019. A third component M selected from Ti, Nb, Zr may be added to the mixture in the form of an oxide, hydroxide, carbonate, etc. See para. 0017. Also taught is that a powder of the cobalt compound, eg. cobalt oxide, having the instantly claimed particle size is used in the process. See para. 0031.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,479

Art Unit: 1754

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the particle size of the lithium carbonate of JP '821 in the process of WO '528 because each process is drawn to a similar process of making lithium cobalt oxide.

Because the taught particle sizes of lithium carbonate and cobalt oxyhydroxide are within those instantly claimed they would also have the instantly claimed surface area since surface areas of particles are related to their size.

In any event, the instantly claimed product would be formed no matter what the size or surface area of the reactant lithium carbonate and cobalt oxyhydroxide and added element M because they are the same reactants as are instantly claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W,F, 8AM to 6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,479 Page 5

Art Unit: 1754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven Bos Primary Examiner

sjb